



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,505	02/12/2004	Theodore Rappaport	02560035BA	3676

30743 7590 07/27/2006

WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C.
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD
SUITE 340
RESTON, VA 20190

EXAMINER

ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2163

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/776,505	Applicant(s) RAPPAPORT ET AL.	
	Examiner Helene Rose	Art Unit 2163	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-66 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13-66 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/2/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2163

Detailed Action

1. Claims 1- 12 have been cancelled; Claims 13-66 has been presented for examination.
2. Claims 13-66 have been rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/14/2004, accordingly, the US Patent Documents cited on pages 1-11 and pages 16-17 along with web pages cited on page 14, of the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner. However, the non-patent literature documents cited on pages 12-13 and page 15, has not been considered by examiner, because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 13, 18, 23-27, 30-31, 44-47, 50, 55-58, and 63-66, are rejected under 112, second paragraph. Claims 13, 18, 23-27, 31, 44-47, 50, 55-58, and 63-66, recite the following limitation "or", this limitation renders the claim vague and indefinite, because the term "or" is considered to be alternative language. Therefore, the limitation renders the claim vague and indefinite, because it is unclear as to how the examiner should interpret the claim limitation as it relates to "or".

Claims Rejections – 35 U.S.C – 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2163

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 13-15, 19, 21-25, 27-32, 34, 37, 39-45, 47-54, 59 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozah et al (US Patent No. 5,337,149/Date of Patent: August 9, 1994) in view of Awe et al (US Patent No. 6,509,906, Filing Date of Patent: April 29, 1999).

Claims 13 and 30:

Regarding Claims 13 and 30 discloses a computer implemented method and an apparatus, wherein Kozah teaches a computer implemented method and an apparatus for creating, formatting or editing a database model of a physical environment, comprising:

computer implemented means for editing one or more objects defining a modeled environment in which an in-building or campus communications network is or will be deployed having at least one of floors, walls, partitions, buildings, building complexes or compounds, terrain, foliage, or other sites or obstructions (Figure 1, all features; see abstract, wherein creating a computer model of a large three dimensional object as data is acquired; column 7, lines 1-2, wherein an isometric view of building space to be measured and lines 55-59, wherein in the case of a building space typical elements are wall surfaces, doors, windows, desks, cabinets, and ceilings among others and column 8, lines 50-55, wherein he or she edit the window or display the window in a different viewing model, Kozah);

means for verifying, by computer, the sufficiency of said one or more objects to ensure a useful definition of said modeled environment and notifying a user of results of said verification of sufficiency (column 1, lines 12-18, wherein computer aided drafting, i.e. CAD, program and graphically display the data as it is acquired to guide the input process and verify the results; column 5, lines 11-14, wherein the computer has sufficient capability to perform computations required by this invention and supports the computer aided drafting program; column 8, lines 30-31, wherein further check on validity of this acquired data, Kozah);

Kozah discloses all the limitations above. However, Kozah does not disclose wherein the means for generating a set of formatted data based at least in part on said verification of sufficiency for use in a communication engineering or network management application. On the other hand, Awe discloses the means for generating a set of formatted data based at least in part on said verification of sufficiency for use in a communications engineering or network management application (column 3, lines 18-29, Awe). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kozah system in view of Awe to provide a configuration means. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so for providing/presenting graphical representation of an object to be displayed.

means for rendering a three-dimensional view of said modeled environment (see abstract, wherein creating a computer model of a large three dimensional object as data is acquired, Kozah).

Claims 14 and 34:

Regarding Claims 14 and 34, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches a means for editing one or more objects in said set of formatted data (column 11, lines 16-22, Kozah).

Claims 15 and 32:

Regarding Claims 15 and 32, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches a means for moving an object in said set of formatted data (column 8, lines 16-19, Kozah).

Claims 19 and 37:

Regarding Claims 19 and 37, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said means for editing includes a means for modifying one or more of electrical properties, physical properties, aesthetic properties, and spatial configurations of one or more objects of said one or more objects (column 1, lines 50-52, wherein physically measure the spatial characteristics of the building and to input the measured data manually into the computer program; column 2, lines 43-47, wherein electronic distance measuring devices range and transit it to a computer for processing into digital model of the object; column 3, lines 15-18, wherein electronic distance measurement device, i.e. EDM, Kozah).

Claims 21 and 39:

Regarding Claims 21 and 39, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein notifying performed by said means for verifying and notifying is performed by prompting the user (column 7, lines 61-65, wherein the CAD software prompts the user to measure the point on the element, Kozah) and, when required to provide said useful definition (column 8, lines 20-33, wherein further check on the validity of the acquired data

and wherein a user decides whether to measure additional points on the element, Kozah), requires the user to correct any insufficiencies in response to an insufficiency notification (Figure 9, all features and further defined in column 8, lines 45-55, Kozah).

Claims 22 and 40:

Regarding Claims 22 and 40, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said communications engineering or network management application is selected from the group consisting of one or more of wireless propagation prediction, measurement tools, component placement or layout visualization tools, optimization tools, bill of materials generating tools, and network performance management or prediction tools (column 10, lines 26-40, wherein electing an element or entity type to be measured and once selected, the user performs the step of aligning the measuring axis 34 on the desired point, and next is the step of measuring the coordinates of the desired point in the local reference frame and once the point is measured, the computer communicates the data to the CAD software and the CAD software then performs the step of calculating the location of the point in the global reference frame and wherein the CAD software next performs the step of displaying the point on the display screen and once displayed, the user determines whether the point was correctly measured and, if needed, then interacts with the computer aided drafting software, wherein this is equivalent to visualization, Kozah).

Claims 23 and 31:

Regarding Claims 23 and 31, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches a means for adding or removing at least one object in said set of formatted data (column 8, lines 25-27 and column 10, lines 46-48, wherein adds the element as measured to the CAD program data base, Kozah).

Claims 24 and 44:

Regarding Claims 24 and 44, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein further comprising means for specifying or invoking a propagation model for performing predictions of performance (Figures 1 and 2, all features and column 5, lines 19-25, Awe).

Claims 25 and 45:

Regarding Claims 25 and 45, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein a means for specifying or invoking a listing of communications equipment (column 3, lines 41-50, Awe).

Claims 27 and 47:

Regarding Claims 27 and 47, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said means for verifying performs one or more of the following:

- (i) removing unused and un-referenced objects from said one or more objects;
- (ii) removing unnecessary data selected group the group consisting of legends, initials, maps, map layers, text, and extraneous drawing objects;
- (iii) objects have been assigned attenuation factors;
- (iv) objects have been assigned colors;
- (v) objects have been assigned physical or electrical information;
- (vi) intersection of objects with floors, ceilings and other objects have been located;
- (vii) buildings are aligned with terrain;
- (viii) floors of a building are aligned with one another;
- (ix) determining if database has been verified previously',
- (x) determining if database is newly created, altered, modified,

amended, edited, previously formatted or not previously formatted;

- (xi) specifying at least one reference point (Figure 7, diagram 7.10, Kozah);
- (xii) scaling one or more objects of said one or more objects (figure 7, all features, Kozah)
- (xiii) scaling a raster image;
- (xiv) adding measurements at site-specific locations (Figure 7, all features, Kozah);
- (xv) creating a legend for the drawing;
- (xvi) creating a boundary around drawing (see abstract, wherein creating a complete model of an object or space without any limitation is equivalent to a boundary, Kozah);
- (xvii) specifying or invoking communications equipment listings;
- (xviii) specifying or invoking a propagation model for performing predictions of performance; and
- (xix) specifying an air interface standard or operating frequency.

Claims 28 and 48:

Regarding Claims 28 and 48, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said means for generating generates an editable database wherein one or more electrical properties, physical properties, aesthetic properties, and spatial configurations of one or more objects within said database can be altered (column 2, lines 62-27, wherein objects can be altered by a user, Awe).

Claims 29 and 49:

Regarding Claims 29 and 49, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said means for generating generates set of formatted data in a form transportable

to and usable by said communications engineering or network management application (column 3, lines 18-29, wherein a network application is defined, Awe).

Claims 41 and 54:

Regarding Claims 41 and 54, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches comprising the step of adding measurements to said set of formatted data generated in said generating step (column 8, lines 25-30, wherein if the answer is no, the CAD software then adds the elements as measured to the CAD program database, wherein once written to the database, the next step is to display the element, Kozah).

Claims 42 and 43:

Regarding Claims 42 and 43, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein comprising a means for scaling at least one part of said set of formatted data (see abstract, wherein scaling is interpreted to be sizing and drawing to its proper size, Kozah).

Claims 50:

Regarding Claim 50, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches the steps of tracing said scanned image or drawing, (column 2, lines 39-47, Kozah) and adding one or more traced objects from said tracing step to said one or more objects defining said modeled environment (Figure 7, diagram 7.13, Kozah).

Claims 51:

Regarding Claim 51, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches the steps of reading in one or more files into said computer and determining the type of file read in said reading step by the contents of said one or more files (columns 7-8, lines 65-67 and line 1, wherein the microcontroller transmits to the computer the range measured by the EDM as

well as the pitch and yaw angles measured respectively by the first sensing device and the second sensing device, Kozah).

Claims 52:

Regarding Claim 52, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein at least a portion of said generating step occurs prior to said verifying step (column 8, lines 2-10, wherein the CAD software has data locating the measured point in spherical coordinates in the local frame reference, Kozah).

Claims 53:

Regarding Claim 53, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches wherein said generating step occurs after said verifying step (column 8, lines 11-32, Kozah).

Claims 59:

Regarding Claim 59, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches means for reading in one or more files into said computer and means for determining the type of file read by the contents of said one or more files (Figure 6. diagram 608, wherein object types are defined and column 6, lines 58-64, Awe).

Claims 62:

Regarding Claim 62, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe teaches means for generating generates said formatted data after said means for verifying verifies the sufficiency of said one or more objects (column 1, lines 12-15, wherein inputting the data to a computer aided drafting program and graphically displaying the data as it is acquired to guide the input process to verify the results, Kozah).

7. Claims 16-18, 20, 26, 33, 35-36, 38, 46, 55-58, 60-61, and 63-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozah et al (US Patent No. 5,337,149/Date of Patent: August 9, 1994) in view of Awe et al (US Patent No. 6,509,906, Filing Date of Patent: April 29, 1999) and further in view of Ingram et al (US Patent No. 5,091,869, Date of Patent: February 25, 1992).

Claims 16 and 33:

The combination of Kozah in view Awe discloses all the limitations above. However, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe does not disclose a means for modifying one or more objects in said set of formatted data. On the other hand, Ingram discloses a means for modifying one or more objects in said set of formatted data (column 12, lines 48-49, Ingram). A skilled artisan would have been motivated to do for determining the accuracy of a base floor plan, wherein accuracy consist of all angles, distance, and points, which will eliminate any deficiencies.

Claims 17 and 35:

Regarding Claims 17 and 35, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein step of using a computer for editing includes the step of removing extraneous drawing objects from said one or more objects (column 12, lines 21-23, wherein editor will allow the technician to select commands identifier points, i.e. records to be extracted, Ingram).

Claims 18 and 36:

Regarding Claims 18 and 36, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein said computer implemented means for editing includes

means for accepting a scanned image or drawing (column 1, lines 16-19, wherein they currently have two options, the “as built” can be digitized or they can be scanned, Ingram).

Claims 20 and 38:

Regarding Claims 20 and 38, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein notifying performed by said means for verifying and notifying is performed in an automatic fashion without feedback being provided to the user (column 5, lines 44-48, wherein builds a self checking mechanism and establishes a tie closure, wherein the survey team proceeds through the entire floor in the manner shooting all entities and their traverse points, wherein the self checking mechanism is automatic, Ingram).

Claims 26:

Regarding Claim 26, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein further comprising the steps of:

accepting at least one raster image file representing said physical environment (column 7, lines 41-56, wherein raster image is define to be an image displayed as a series of lines of dots, Ingram); and

scaling said at least one raster image file for incorporation into either said using a computer for editing step or said set of formatted data generated in said generating step (columns 7-8, lines 57-67 and lines 1-16, wherein format used by calculation program, Ingram).

Claims 46:

Regarding Claim 46, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein accepting at least one raster image file representing said physical environment (column 2, lines 21-26, Ingram); and

means for scaling said at least one raster image file for incorporation into either said computer implemented means for editing (column 11, lines 13-17, Ingram) or said set of formatted data generated by said means for generating (column 3, lines 52-61, Ingram).

Claims 55 and 64:

Regarding Claims 55 and 64, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches the step of adding measured or predicted values to said one or more objects which are edited by said computer implemented means for editing or are represented in said formatted data (column 3, lines 47-51, wherein added to the prism is for example three inches, wherein the length may be adjusted as desired and that a corresponding adjustment may be made in the EDM, Ingram).

Claims 56 and 65:

Regarding Claims 56 and 65, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches means for scaling all or a portion of said set of formatted data generated by said means for generating (column 3, lines 52-61, Ingram).

Claims 57 and 66:

Regarding Claims 57 and 66, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches means for scaling all or a portion of said one or more objects that are edited by said computer implemented means for editing (column 6, lines 36-38, wherein

setting of scale factors and rotation of the BLOCK which will require the use of more than one line to perform this command, Ingram).

Claims 58 and 63:

Regarding Claims 58 and 63, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches wherein said step of using a computer for editing removes extraneous information or scales said scanned image or drawing (column 12, lines 21-23, wherein the editor will allow the technician to select command identifier points, i.e. records to be extracted, Ingram).

Claims 60:

Regarding Claim 60, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches means for tracing said scanned image or drawing, and means for adding one or more traced objects to said one or more objects defining said modeled environment (see claim 64, wherein this limitation is substantially the same as claim 64, wherein adding one or more, Ingram).

Claims 61:

Regarding Claim 61, the combination of Kozah in view of Awe and further in view of Ingram teaches means for generating generates at least portion of said formatted data prior to said means for verifying verifies the sufficiency of said one or more objects (column 12, lines 11-17, Ingram).

Prior Art of Record

1. Ingram et al. (US Patent No. 5,091,869) discloses a method is provided for devising a floor plan of an existing building in which angles and distances are measured from an interior traverse point.
2. Arpee et al. (US Patent No. 5,926,762) discloses a computer implemented process which includes furnishing data indicating the actual strengths of all signals to be transmitted by a plurality of cells each positioned at an individual physical position in a mobile communications system and to be received by a mobile unit at a plurality of points of an entire mobile communication system.
3. Awe et al. (US Patent No. 6,509,906) discloses a method, apparatus, and article of manufacture for enabling the creation of authorable, dynamic software objects.
4. Kozah et al. (US Patent No. 5,337,149) discloses an apparatus and method for creating a computer model of a large three-dimensional object as data is acquired.


Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-0749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Helene Rose
Technology Center 2100
July 21, 2006



ALFORD KINDRED
PRIMARY EXAMINER